

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION APPELLATE SIDE

Present:

The Hon'ble Justice Debangsu Basak

C.R.R. 1712 of 2025

Adom Ali Mridha & Anr. Vs. The State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the petitioners : Mr. Sabyasachi Mukherjee

Mr. Mrinmay Nandy
Ms. Ankita Nandi
Mr. Biswajit Mukherjee
Ms. Swastika Saha
Mr. Rano beer Halder

For the State : Mr. Debasish Roy, Ld. P.P.,

Mr. Kunal Ganguly

Heard and Judgment on: September 17, 2025

Debangsu Basak, J.:-

- 1. Affidavit of service filed in Court be taken on record.
- 2. None appears for the private opposite party.
- 3. Petitioners seek quashing of criminal complaint.
- 4. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that on the face of the police complaint lodged by the private opposite party, the same does not disclose commission of a cognizable offence. He submits that non-payment of a sum does not result in a criminal



liability. In support of such contentions, he relies upon (2007) 8 S.C.R. 746 (Veer Prakash Sharma vs. Anil Kumar Agarwal & Anr.).

- 5. Learned advocate appearing for the State refers to the materials in the case diary. He submits that a written agreement between the parties in relation to the subject matter was seized. He submits that there is a counter criminal complaint pending with regard to the abduction in which charge sheet was submitted.
- 6. In the present revisional application, I am concerned with the G.R. Case No. 2512 of 2024 arising out of Bhabanipur Police Station Case No. 328 of 2024 dated February 6, 2024 under Sections 420/406 of the IPC, 1860.
- 7. The complaint to the police lodged by the private opposite party discloses that there was a transaction with regard to sale of certain articles. It is the claim of the private opposite party that an amount by virtue of such sale is outstanding.
- 8. **Veer Prakash Sharma** (supra) is of the view that the allegations contained in the complaint even if given face value and taken to be correct, in its entirety must disclose commission of a cognizable offence. In the event, it does not, a High Court in exercising of its powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. can quash the criminal proceedings.



- 9. In the facts of the present case, there is a civil dispute between the private parties. There exists a written contract for supply of materials. Apparently, supplies of materials took place and that an amount is outstanding with regard to such supplies.
- 10. The allegations reveal that there is a civil dispute between the private parties. Criminal complaint arises out of a commercial transaction. Mere non-payment without coupled with anything else may not sound in criminal liability.
- 11. In such circumstances, G.R. Case No. 2512 of 2024 is quashed.
- 12. **C.R.R. 1712 of 2025** is **disposed of**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

S.D.