In the High Court at Calcutta

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Judgment (2)
Moumita
Daily list Item No. 39
Court No. 2

Present :
The Hon'ble Justice Aniruddha Roy

WPA 8957 of 2025

Sri Satyanarayan Ram
Vs.
Eastern Coalfield Ltd. & Ors.

For the Petitioner	**:**	**Ms. Ipsita Ghosh** **Mr. Lakshman Chandra Halder**
For the Respondents	**:**	**Mr. Nikhil Kumar Roy** **Mr. S.M. Olardullah**
Heard on	**:**	**25.09.2025**
Judgment on	**:**	**25.09.2025**

Aniruddha Roy, J.:

1. Affidavit-of-service, filed in Court today is taken on record. The petitioner prays for correction of age in his service record.
2. As submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the Coal Company the petitioner shall retire on ***June 7, 2026***.
3. Considering the case made out in the writ petition several factual matrix may have to be gone into. In view of the above, the petitioner shall serve a copy of this writ petition along with a copy of today's order upon the

respondent no. 4 forthwith. The respondent no. 4 upon issuing a prior hearing notice of ***at least seven days*** to the petitioner and after granting him an opportunity of hearing shall decide the issue in the light of the case made out in the writ petition by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law.

4. The entire exercise shall be carried out and completed by the respondent no. 4 positively within ***six weeks*** from the date of communication of this order and the reasoned order shall be communicated to the petitioner positively within ***two weeks*** thereafter.
5. It is needless to mention that the petitioner while participating in the hearing shall be entitled to be accompanied with his duly authorized representative.
6. It is made clear that, this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim of the petitioner and the petitioner shall be at liberty to urge whatever points he wishes to urge by relying upon whatever records, documents, judgments and orders he wishes to rely upon before the respondent no. 4 but the same shall not travel beyond the scope of the case made out in the writ petition.
7. In the event, the reasoned order goes in favour of the petitioner the appropriate authority shall take all necessary and consequential steps for rectification of the service record but positively within ***four weeks*** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed.

8. It is made clear that, this order shall not create any right or equity in favour of the petitioner, if the petitioner does not succeed before the respondent no.4 strictly in accordance with law.

9. Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

10. With the above observations and directions, this writ petition ***WPA 8957 of 2025***, stands ***disposed of,*** without any order as to costs.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)